Appendix table 1: Results from 8 regressions assessing the relationship between agreement type on the log and level of household income, 1990 - 2010, Alternative Standard Errors Clustered at CSD Level & Alternative Fixed Effects Adding Province-Year Dummies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Results clustered at CSD level</th>
<th>Results with Province-Year Dummies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coef.</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observations</td>
<td>453,680</td>
<td>453680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal household</td>
<td>-0.32 0.03</td>
<td>-11,586 1,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not an Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>0.15 0.05</td>
<td>9,434 3,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>0.07 0.04</td>
<td>586 1,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By agreement type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observations</td>
<td>453,680</td>
<td>453,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal household</td>
<td>-0.31 0.03</td>
<td>-11,186 1,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not an Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>-1.31 0.55</td>
<td>-30,914 4,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>-0.10 0.08</td>
<td>-8,608 2,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA+SGA</td>
<td>0.26 0.08</td>
<td>19,852 7,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>0.45 0.04</td>
<td>28,240 4,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA</td>
<td>0.17 0.07</td>
<td>10,253 8,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMA</td>
<td>0.12 0.07</td>
<td>5,156 8,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA+FNLMA</td>
<td>-0.02 0.11</td>
<td>-460 5,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>-0.26 0.11</td>
<td>-8,195 1,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>-0.01 0.05</td>
<td>3,799 3,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA+SGA</td>
<td>0.23 0.08</td>
<td>8,744 3,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>0.33 0.03</td>
<td>14,725 2,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA</td>
<td>-0.02 0.05</td>
<td>-3,961 1,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMA</td>
<td>0.02 0.08</td>
<td>-4,797 4,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA+FNLMA</td>
<td>0.09 0.08</td>
<td>-890 3,969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Other variables included in the model are: age, education, marital status of oldest member, official languages in the household (English French or both), household size, Census subdivision and census year.
Appendix 2: Results from 8 regressions assessing the relationship between agreement type on the level of household income, 1990 - 2010, for different samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-movers</th>
<th>not 1991</th>
<th>not 2011</th>
<th>North</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coeff.</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
<td>coeff.</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-11,573</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>-12,437</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any agreement</td>
<td>9,992</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>6,924.52</td>
<td>1,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>710</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>-2,481.33</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By type of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-11,113</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>-12,118</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not an Aboriginal</td>
<td>-31,082</td>
<td>12,659</td>
<td>-32,533</td>
<td>12,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>-7,931</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>-8,669</td>
<td>3,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>19,572</td>
<td>8,474</td>
<td>18,347</td>
<td>7,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>30,360</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>13,946</td>
<td>1,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA</td>
<td>10,029</td>
<td>4,105</td>
<td>9,857</td>
<td>3,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMA</td>
<td>6,738</td>
<td>3,351</td>
<td>5,024</td>
<td>3,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA+FNLMA</td>
<td>-700</td>
<td>4,079</td>
<td>-1,178</td>
<td>4,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-8,109</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>-8,753</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>3,069</td>
<td>4,617</td>
<td>4,918</td>
<td>3,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>-4,254</td>
<td>1,406</td>
<td>-4,552</td>
<td>1,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>15,545</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>7,685</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA</td>
<td>-3,792</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>-3,723</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMA</td>
<td>-4,254</td>
<td>1,406</td>
<td>-4,552</td>
<td>1,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA+FNLMA</td>
<td>-422</td>
<td>1,883</td>
<td>-217</td>
<td>1,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

note: Other variables included in the model are: age, education, marital status of oldest member, official languages in the household (English, French or both), household size, Census subdivision and census year
## Appendix table 3: Results from 8 regressions assessing the relationship between agreement type on the log and level of individual income, 1990 - 2010 by sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>log of total income</td>
<td>level of total income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coef.</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observations</td>
<td>390,355</td>
<td>390,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhlds</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any agreement</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal identity</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observations</td>
<td>390,355</td>
<td>390,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal identity</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not an Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA+SGA</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMA</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA+FNLMMA</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA+SGA</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFM</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLM</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Other variables included in the model are: age, education, marital status, official language (English French or both), household size, Census subdivision and census year.
### Appendix Table 4: Results from 12 regressions assessing the relationship between agreement type on the log of labour, transfer and other income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Labour</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Transfers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coef.</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
<td>sig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observations</td>
<td>453,680</td>
<td>453,680</td>
<td>453,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not an Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observations</td>
<td>453,680</td>
<td>453,680</td>
<td>453,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob&gt;F</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjR2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not an Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>-3.03</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA+SGA</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNFMA</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMMA</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal hhld</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral SGA</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA only</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA+SGA</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA only</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMMA</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMMA+FNLMA</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** other variables included in the model are: age, education, marital status of oldest member, official languages in the household (English, French or both), household size, Census subdivision and census year.